NELSON, MULLINS, RILEY & SCARBOROUGH, LLP

SOLOMON L. WISENBERG, ESQ. DC Bar No. 464867 101 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20001 Attorney for Defendants (Pro Hac Vice) *NEISWONGER*

OLSON, CANNON, GORMLEY, ANGULO & STOBERSKI

PETER M. ANGULO, ESQ. 9050 W. Cheyenne Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89129 Attorney for Defendants (Associated Counsel) NEISWONGER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
)
Plaintiff,)
) 2:11-CR-00247-JAD-CWI
vs.) ECF No. 205
RICHARD S. NEISWONGER,	<i>)</i>)
WILLIAM S. REED,)
WENDELL L. WAITE,)
)
Defendants.)
)
)

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE SENTENCING (Sixteenth Request)

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and among Dayle Elieson, United States Attorney ("USA"), John Patrick Burns, Assistant United States Attorney ("AUSA"),

Solomon L. Wisenberg, Esq., and Peter Angulo, Esq., attorneys for Defendant Richard C. Neiswonger, that the sentencing currently scheduled for August 10, 2018 be vacated and continued until October 10, 2018, or a date thereafter convenient to the Court.

The Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

1. This is the sixteenth request to continue Mr. Neiswonger's sentencing in this matter. The first request was made through stipulation of the parties on August 1, 2012 (Docket Entry 76) and was granted by the Court on August 2, 2012 (Docket Entry 77). The second request was entered by the Court on September 26, 2012, through a Minute Order upon oral stipulation of counsel (Docket Entry 81). This Minute Order was entered on the same date that the Court accepted guilty pleas and set a July 8, 2013 sentencing date for Mr. Neiswonger and Mrs. Shannon Neiswonger in Case Number 2:12-CR-00281-JAD-CWH. See Minutes of Proceedings (Docket Entry 22) in Case Number 2:12-CR-00281-JAD-CWH. The third request was made by stipulation of the parties on May 31, 2013 (Docket Entry 93) and was granted by the Court the same day (Docket Entry 94). The fourth request was made by stipulation of the parties on September 25, 2013 (Docket Entry 105) and was granted by the Court on September 26, 2013 (Docket Entry 106). The fifth request was made by stipulation of the parties on December 23, 2013 (Docket Entry 117) and was granted by the Court on December 26, 2013 (Docket Entry 118). The sixth request was made by stipulation of the parties on March 14, 2014 (Docket Entry 122) and was granted by the Court on March 19, 2014 (Docket Entry 123). The seventh request was made by stipulation of the parties on June 18, 2014 (Docket Entry 132) and was granted by the Court on June 18, 2014 (Docket Entry 133). The eighth request was made by stipulation of the parties on December 4, 2014 (Docket Entry 144) and was granted by the Court on December 9, 2014 (Docket Entry 147). The ninth request was

made by stipulation of the parties on May 15, 2015 (Docket Entry 150) and was granted by the Court on May 18, 2015 (Docket Entry 151). The tenth request was made by stipulation of the parties on October 12, 2015 (Docket Entry 155) and was granted by the Court on October 13, 2015 (Docket Entry 156). The eleventh request was made by stipulation of the parties on March 9, 2016 (Docket Entry 161) and was granted by the Court the same day (Docket Entry 162). The twelfth request was made by stipulation of the parties on December 12, 2016 (Docket Entry 177) and was granted by the Court the same day (Docket Entry 178). The thirteenth request was made by stipulation of the parties on June 19, 2017 (Docket Entry 191) and was granted by the Court on the same day (Docket Entry 192). The fourteenth request was made by stipulation of the parties on December 19, 2017 (Docket Entry 199) and was granted by the Court on the same day (Docket Entry 200). The fifteenth request was made by stipulation of the parties on March 26, 2018 (Docket Entry 201) and was granted by the Court on the same day (Docket Entry 202).

- 2. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay.
- 3. In late October 2015, Shannon Neiswonger, Mr. Neiswonger's wife, suffered a serious, life-threatening and debilitating brain injury. On November 27, 2017, the Court granted Mrs. Neiswonger's unopposed motion for competency evaluation in the related action, Case Number 2:11-CR-00281-JAD-CWH. On March 5, 2018, the Government filed the Government's Rule 48 Motion to Dismiss the Information as to Shannon Neiswonger in the related action. On March 6, 2018, the Court dismissed the Information against Mrs. Neiswonger in the related action.
- 4. The U.S. Probation Office and the parties agree that, in light of events occurring in the four and one-half years since the original Draft Presentence Investigation Report (PSR)

was completed, including Mrs. Neiswonger's medical injuries and issues, Mr.

Neiswonger's sentencing will need to be continued. The parties have conferred and

reached an agreement with the U.S. Probation Office regarding the timetable for

completing and responding to the Probation Office's updated draft PSR, which

undersigned counsel is due to receive shortly.

5. Mr. Neiswonger's lead counsel, Solomon L. Wisenberg, needs additional time to

investigate all of the sentencing factors contained in 18 U.S.C. §§ 3553(a) and 3661,

particularly the nature and circumstances of the offense and the history and

characteristics of Mr. Neiswonger, including his full cooperation, so that he can prepare a

Sentencing Memorandum and otherwise effectively represent Mr. Neiswonger at the

Sentencing Hearing pursuant to the Sixth Amendment. There are voluminous interview

reports and other historical documents that need to be reviewed. This is also true with

respect to Case Number 2:12-CR-00281-JAD-CWH, which is related to the instant matter

and is currently set for sentencing on the same date. Mr. Wisenberg represents Mr.

Neiswonger in Case Number 2:12-CR-00281-JAD-CWH and will be filing a Stipulation

to Continue Sentencing in Case Number 2:12-CR-00281-JAD-CWH as well. Continuing

the sentencing in both cases will conserve judicial, prosecutorial, and defense resources.

6. For the above stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of

the sentencing date until October 10, 2018 or a date thereafter convenient to the Court.

DATED this 2nd day of July, 2018.

DAYLE ELIESON

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

101

Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NELSON, MULLINS, RILEY & SCARBOROUGH, LLP

/s/

SOLOMON L. WISENBERG
DC Bar No. 464867
101 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20001
Attorney for Defendant (Pro Hac Vice)
NEISWONGER

OLSON, CANNON, GORMLEY, ANGULO & STOBERSKI

/s/

PETER M. ANGULO, ESQ.
9050 W. Cheyenne Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89129
Attorney for Defendant (Associated Counsel)
NEISWONGER

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based upon the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

1. This is the sixteenth request to continue Mr. Neiswonger's sentencing in this matter. The first request was made through stipulation of the parties on August 1, 2012 (Docket Entry 76) and was granted by the Court on August 2, 2012 (Docket Entry 77). The second request was entered by the Court on September 26, 2012, through a Minute Order upon oral stipulation of counsel (Docket Entry 81). This Minute Order was entered on the same date that the Court accepted guilty pleas and set a July 8, 2013 sentencing date for Mr. Neiswonger and Mrs. Shannon Neiswonger in Case Number 2:12-CR-00281-JAD-CWH. See Minutes of Proceedings (Docket Entry 22) in Case Number 2:12-CR-00281-JAD-CWH. The third request was made by stipulation of the parties on May 31, 2013 (Docket Entry 93) and was granted by the Court the same day (Docket Entry 94). The fourth request was made by stipulation of the parties on September 25, 2013 (Docket Entry 105) and was granted by the Court on September 26, 2013 (Docket Entry 106). The fifth request was made by stipulation of the parties on December 23, 2013 (Docket Entry 117) and was granted by the Court on December 26, 2013 (Docket Entry 118). The sixth request was made by stipulation of the parties on March 14, 2014 (Docket Entry 122) and was granted by the Court on March 19, 2014 (Docket Entry 123). The seventh request was made by stipulation of the parties on June 18, 2014 (Docket Entry 132) and was granted by the Court on June 18, 2014 (Docket Entry 133). The eighth request was made by stipulation of the parties on December 4, 2014 (Docket Entry 144) and was granted by the Court on December 9, 2014 (Docket Entry 147). The ninth request was made by stipulation of the parties on May 15, 2015 (Docket Entry 150) and was granted

by the Court on May 18, 2015 (Docket Entry 151). The tenth request was made by stipulation of the parties on October 12, 2015 (Docket Entry 155) and was granted by the Court on October 13, 2015 (Docket Entry 156). The eleventh request was made by stipulation of the parties on March 9, 2016 (Docket Entry 161) and was granted by the Court the same day (Docket Entry 162). The twelfth request was made by stipulation of the parties on December 12, 2016 (Docket Entry 177) and was granted by the Court the same day (Docket Entry 178). The thirteenth request was made by stipulation of the parties on June 19, 2017 (Docket Entry 191) and was granted by the Court on the same day (Docket Entry 192). The fourteenth request was made by stipulation of the parties on December 19, 2017 (Docket Entry 199) and was granted by the Court on the same day (Docket Entry 200). The fifteenth request was made by stipulation of the parties on March 26, 2018 (Docket Entry 201) and was granted by the Court on the same day (Docket Entry 202).

- 2. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay.
- 3. In late October 2015, Shannon Neiswonger, Mr. Neiswonger's wife, suffered a serious, life-threatening and debilitating brain injury. On November 27, 2017, the Court granted Mrs. Neiswonger's unopposed motion for competency evaluation in the related action, Case Number 2:11-CR-00281-JAD-CWH. On March 5, 2018, the Government filed the Government's Rule 48 Motion to Dismiss the Information as to Shannon Neiswonger in the related action. On March 6, 2018, the Court dismissed the Information against Mrs. Neiswonger in the related action.
- 4. The U.S. Probation Office and the parties agree that, in light of events occurring in the four and one-half years since the original Draft Presentence Investigation Report (PSR) was completed, including Mrs. Neiswonger's medical injuries and issues, Mr.

Neiswonger's sentencing will need to be continued. The parties have conferred and

reached an agreement with the U.S. Probation Office regarding the timetable for

completing and responding to the Probation Office's updated draft PSR, which counsel is

due to receive shortly.

5. Mr. Neiswonger's lead counsel, Solomon L. Wisenberg, needs additional time to

investigate all of the sentencing factors contained in 18 U.S.C. §§ 3553(a) and 3661,

particularly the nature and circumstances of the offense and the history and

characteristics of Mr. Neiswonger, including his full cooperation, so that he can prepare a

Sentencing Memorandum and otherwise effectively represent Mr. Neiswonger at the

Sentencing Hearing pursuant to the Sixth Amendment. There are voluminous interview

reports and other historical documents that need to be reviewed. Continuing the

sentencing in both cases will conserve judicial, prosecutorial, and defense resources.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. For the above stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of

the sentencing date until October 10, 2018 or a date thereafter convenient to the Court.

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the sentencing currently scheduled for August 10,

2018, be vacated and continued to October 15, 2018, at the hour of 10:00 a.m.

DATED: 7/6/2018

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Submitted by:

/s/___

PETER M. ANGULO, ESQ.
9050 W. Cheyenne Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89129
Attorney for Defendant (Associated Counsel)
NEISWONGER